

FEATURES OF APPOINTMENT AND CONDUCT OF SPEECH LINGUISTIC EXPERTISE (SEMANTIC-TEXTUAL AND AUTHORIAL EXPERTISE): JUDICIAL PRACTICE

Tatiana A. Todoroshko, Senior Lecturer, Department of Law, Alfred Nobel University (Ukraine).
E-mail: ttodoroshko@duan.edu.ua

Alona V. Bila, Lecturer, Department of Law, Alfred Nobel University, Forensic expert of the Dnipropetrovsk Research Institute of Forensic Expertise of the Ministry of Justice of Ukraine (Ukraine).
E-mail: bila.a@duan.edu.ua
DOI: 10.32342/2709-6408-2023-1-6-7

Key words: *linguistic expertise, semantic-textual expertise, authorial expertise, oral speech, written speech, court process, court practice, evidence.*

The article is devoted to the study of the peculiarities of linguistic expertise in the judicial process. The scientific work characterizes the concept of linguistic expertise, highlights the types of linguistic expertise, analyzes the features and differences of semantic-textual and author expertise, identifies the objects of expertise, describes the range of questions posed for linguistic expertise. It has been established that the linguistic expertise of speech plays an important role during the investigation of criminal proceedings and the consideration of court cases, and its conclusions serve as a basis for protecting the interests of the state and its citizens. It is noted that the forensic semantic-textual expertise of the text within the framework of court proceedings is carried out in accordance with the norms defined by the Instructions on the appointment and conduct of forensic expertises and expert studies and Scientific and methodological recommendations on the preparation and appointment of forensic expertises and expert studies, approved by the order of the Ministry of Justice of Ukraine. In turn, the legal nature of linguistic expertise is due to the availability of special knowledge in the field of science, which allows establishing or refuting facts that are of great importance in the consideration of a court case and the resolution of a dispute. It has been analyzed that the direct theoretical study of linguistic expertise does not allow to form a holistic and objective idea about its nature, as well as its importance in the process of law enforcement and establishing the truth in the case. In particular, the procedural procedure for the appointment of a linguistic expertise was clarified, and the judicial practice regarding the consideration of cases in which a linguistic expertise was appointed was analyzed.

References

1. The Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine (1994), Law of Ukraine "On forensic expertise". Available at: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/4038-12#Text> (Accessed 10 April 2023).
2. Sviridova, L. V. (2018). *Sudovo-ekspertna praktyka provedennya linhvistychnoyi ekspertyzy pysemnoho movlennya* [Forensic expert practice of linguistic expertise of written communication]. *Teoriya ta praktyka sudovoyi ekspertyzy i kryminalistyky* [Theory and practice of forensic expertise and criminology], no. 18, pp. 288-294.
3. The Ministry of Justice of Ukraine (1998), Order "On the approval of the Instructions on the appointment and conduct of forensic expertise and expert research and Scientific and methodological recommendations on the preparation and appointment of forensic expertise and expert research". Available at: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/z0705-98#top> (Accessed 15 April 2023)
4. Savelieva, I. V. (2020). *Problemni pytannya doslidzhennya usnoho movlennya osoby pry provedenni linhvistychnoyi ekspertyzy ta ekspertyzy videozvukozapysiv* [Problematic issues of the study of a person's oral speech during linguistic expertise and expertise of audio and video recordings]. *Yurydychnyy naukovyy elektronnyy zhurnal* [Legal scientific electronic journal], Zaporizhzhia, no. 5, pp. 217-219.
5. Budko, T.V. (2016). *Problemni pytannya sudovo-linhvistychnoyi semantyko-tekstual'noyi ekspertyzy movlennya ta rekomendatsiyi shchodo yikh vyrishennya (na materialakh kryminal'nykh*

provadzen'): *monohrafiya* [Problematic questions of the forensic-linguistic semantic-textual expertise of speech and recommendations for their solution (based on the materials of criminal proceedings): monograph]. Kyiv, NA SB of Ukraine, 91 p.

6. Unified state register of court decisions (2023). The decision of the Frunzensky District Court of Kharkiv of January 13, 2022 in case No. 645/5567/21. Available at: <https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/102716702> (Accessed 05 April 2023).

7. Unified state register of court decisions (2023), The decision of Decision of the Malinovsky District Court of Odesa of July 11, 2022 in case No. 521/7849/21. Available at: <https://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Review/105319515> (Accessed 05 April 2023).