

CONFLICTOGENIC ZONES OF UKRAINE IN THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Valeriy O. Glushkov, Doctor of Legal Sciences, Full Professor, Honored Lawyer of Ukraine, Academician of the European Academy of Security and Conflict Studies, Department of Tactical Special Training, Academy of the State Penitentiary Service (Ukraine)

E-mail: glushkov1947@gmail.com

DOI: 10.32342/2709-6408-2020-1-1-22

Key words: *political conflicts, strategic tasks, geopolitical space, transitive mono-polarity, world order.*

The article reveals that the world order: is a planetary global hierarchical system of international relations and relations between States or unions of States, which has received international legal consolidation and has a distribution of political roles at the planetary or regional levels; it is an eschatological, Messianic project, which is based on the idea of political and economic domination of a certain occult top of the financial oligarchy, based on an ideological and speculative-usurious revolution, which arose as a result of this new thinking; it has a multi-level, interdependent and mutually dependent hierarchical structure of States.

It is determined that one of the main goals of the New world order is the cosmopolitanization of mankind. The problems of building a modern monopolar world order lie in the claims of the United States to planetary hegemony, which after the collapse of the Soviet Union felt like the only superpower and are trying to establish their own world order of Imperial orientation. It is theoretically justified that in order to achieve its strategic goals, the United States forms centers of power in Europe, Asia and America, which should become a support for the establishment of a new monopolar world order, which are formed in a tangle of contradictions with the United States, because contradictions exist between continents and world regions with their geopolitical centers of power (such as Germany in Western Europe, Russia in Eastern Europe, Japan and China in Asia). One of the foreign economic factors in the development of Eastern Europe is the Islamic market, which is being formed under the leadership of Turkey. The attention that now is the most difficult problem in the geopolitical space of Ukraine is the Crimea, as it is conflicting point, cross, Islamic, and European Eurasian geopolitical formation. It is argued that Ukraine is in a zone of political conflicts, and many of them are based on geopolitical factors that give grounds to assert the possibility of the emergence and development of terrorism in Ukraine on the basis of existing contradictions and clear interests of various geopolitical forces, both internal and external.

References

1. Bedrytskyi, A.V. (1997). *Try proektsyy Krimskoi ydey* [Three projections of the Crimean idea]. *Russkyi heopolytycheskyi sbornyk* [Russian geopolitical collection], no. 2, pp. 2-94.
2. Bzhezynskiy, Z. (1998). *Ukraina y Polshcha v konteksti yevropeiskoi intehratsii* [Ukraine and Poland in the context of European integration]. *Stratehichna panorama* [Strategic panorama], no. 3-4, pp. 26-29.
3. Bzhezynskiy, Z. (1999). *Velykaia shakhmatnaia doska. Hospodstvo Amerykky eho heostratycheskiye ymperatyvi* [Great chessboard. American domination and its geostrategic imperatives]. Moscow, International Relationships, 256 p.
4. Valevskiy, O.L., Honchar, M.M. (1995). *Struktura heopolitychnykh interesiv Ukrainy* [Structure of geopolitical interests of Ukraine]. Kyiv, NISD, 94 p.
5. Makynder, Kh. (1992). *Heopolytyka yuhoslavskoho konflykta* [Geopolitics of the Yugoslav conflict]. Items, no. 2, pp. 20-25.
6. Kurianov, Yu.A., Sukhodolskiy, E.V. (1995). *Balkano-Kavkazskaia duha nestabylnosty y uhrozi natsyonalnoi bezopasnosti Rossyy* [Balkan-Caucasian arc of instability and threats to Russia's national security]. Orientation, no. 5, pp. 18-25.
7. Ladychenko, V. (1999). *Balkanska viina ta Ukraina. Yevropa na porozi novoho tysiacholittia* [The Balkan War and Ukraine. Europe on the threshold of the new millennium]. *Materialy Mizhnarodnoi konferentsii* [International Conference Makinder]. Kyiv, pp. 21-22.
8. Makynder, Kh. (1996). *Heohrafycheskaia os ystoryi* [Geographical axis of history]. Items, no. 7, pp. 26-31.
9. Manachynskiy, O.Ia. (1996). *Suchasni voienno-politychni vidnosyny Ukrainy iz sumizhno-prylehlymy derzhavamy* [Modern military-political relations of Ukraine with adjacent states]. Kyiv, NISD, 90 p.
10. Nebozhenko, V.S. (1994). *Sotsialna napruzhenist i konflikty v ukrainskomu suspilstvi* [Social tensions and conflicts in Ukrainian society]. Kyiv, Abris, 64 p.
11. *Ukraina ta problemy bezpeky v Chornomorsko-Kaspiiskomu rehioni* [Ukraine and security issues in the Black Sea-Caspian region]. *Stratehichna panorama: shchokvartalni nauko-vo-praktychni zhurnal Rady*

Natsionalnoi bezpeky i oborony Ukrainy [Strategic Panorama: Quarterly Scientific and Practical Journal of the National Security and Defense Council of Ukraine], 1999, no. 1-2, 179 p.

12. Shmytt, K. (1997). *Planetarnaia napriazhennost mezhdu Vostokom y Zapadom* [Planetary tension between East and West]. *Elementy* [Items], no. 8.

13. Haushofer, K. (1979). Kontinentalblocke: Mitteleuropa – Eurasia – Japon in “Ausgewaehlte texte zur geopolitik”, Boppard am Rhein, pp. 32-36.

14. Mackinder, H. (1996). Geographical pivot of history in “Geographical Journal”, 1904. (Jelementy. *Evrazijskoe obozrenie*, no. 7, pp. 26-31.

Одержано 17.04.2020.